Constantine's Death and Character 327

>erson to health or the protection of crops against tail. But it is evident that Constantine and his >ishops were far more apprehensive of evil from the inchaining of the Devil than expectant of good from he favour of the ministers of grace. They were errified of the one: they indulged but a pious hope >f the other. Nor was the Emperor successful tamping out the private thaumaturgist. Human tature was too strong for him. Sileat perpetiw divin-mdi curiositaSy ordered one of successors in ,58. But the curiosity to divine the future con-inued to defv both civil and ecclesiastical law.

A much bolder act, however, than the closing of a ew temples on the score of public decency or the orbidding of private divination was the edict of 325, n which Constantine ordered the abolition of the gladiatorial shows. " Such bloodstained specta-:les," he said, "in the midst of civil peace and do-nestic quiet are repugnant to our taste." He >rdained, therefore, that in future all criminals who vere usually condemned to be gladiators should be ;ent to work in the mines, that they might expiate :heir offences without shedding of blood. But it was one thing to issue an edict and another to enforce it. Whether Constantine insisted on the >bservance of this particular edict, we cannot say, :>ut his successors certainly did not, for the glad-atorial spectacles at Rome were in full swing in the lays of Symmachus, who ransacked the world for jood swordsmen and strange animals. The "cruenta tyectacula" as Constantine called them, were not inally abolished until the reign of Honorius.